

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,638	01/26/2004	Russell A. Budd	YOR920000326US2	YOR920000326US2 9109	
7	590 12/13/20	6	EXAM	IINER	
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP			BECK, ALEXANDER S		
90 Forest Avenue Locust Valley, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/764,638	BUDD ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander S. Beck	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Sec 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		•
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s)	·	(DTO 440)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Acknowledgment is made of the amendment filed by the Applicant on September 25, 2006, in which: independent Claim 1 is amended; and the rejections of the claims are traversed. Claims 1-3 are currently pending in U.S. Application Serial No. 10/764,638, and an Office Action on the merits follows.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 3-5, filed September 25, 2006, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection are made in view of Tosaki (U.S. Patent No. 5,844,530) and Miyazaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,339,411 B2).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 2 recites the limitation "the optical system housing" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/764,638

Art Unit: 2629

Page 3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tosaki (U.S. Patent No. 5,844,530, hereinafter TOSAKI).

As to independent Claim 1, TOSAKI teaches/suggests a head mounted virtual image display unit in Figures 1-4, the unit comprising: a microdisplay (73); an optical system (2) for directing an image signal for viewing by a user, the image signal being generated in accordance with the microdisplay (73); an optical system mounting structure (69) for supporting the optical system (2) within the field of view of an eye of the user; a housing (10,69) to substantially contain at least the optical system (2); and a light shield (80) integrated with the housing (10,69) and having an open position and a closed position, wherein the light shield (80) is positioned with respect to the optical system (2) such that, in the open position, the image signal is viewed by the user with background light entering the optical system (2), and in the closed position, the image signal is viewed by the user with background light at least partially blocked from entering the optical system (2) (TOSAKI: col. 7, ln. 45 – col. 8, ln. 30).

As to Claim 2, TOSAKI teaches/suggests in Figures 3,4 wherein the light shield (80) is slidably integrated in the housing (10,69) such that the light shield (80) may be slid (i.e. to move over a surface while maintaining smooth continuous contact) between the open position and the closed position (TOSAKI: col. 7, ln. 59 – col. 8, ln. 4).

As to Claim 3, TOSAKI teaches/suggests wherein the light shield is one of opaque, partially opaque, colored and polarized (TOSAKI: col. 7, ln. 59 – col. 8, ln. 4).

6. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miyazaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,339,411 B2, hereinafter MIYAZAKI).

As to independent Claim 1, MIYAZAKI teaches/suggests a head mounted virtual image display unit in Figure 1, the unit comprising: a microdisplay; an optical system for directing an image signal for viewing by a user, the image signal being generated in accordance with the microdisplay; an optical system mounting structure for supporting the optical system within the field of view of an eye of the user; a housing to substantially contain at least the optical system; and a light shield integrated with the housing and having an open position and a closed position, wherein the light shield is positioned with respect to the optical system such that, in the open position, the image signal is viewed by the user with background light entering the optical system, and in the closed position, the image signal is viewed by the user with background light at least partially blocked from entering the optical system (MIYAZAKI: col. 1, ln. 4-32).

Application/Control Number: 10/764,638

Art Unit: 2629

As to Claim 3, MIYAZAKI teaches/suggests wherein the light shield is one of

opaque, partially opaque, colored and polarized (MIYAZAKI: col. 1, ln. 4-32).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alexander S. Beck whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7765. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair.direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA

OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

asb 12/7/06 SUMATI LEFKOWITZ

Page 5

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER